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## 1. Lecture One: $G$ finite or $G / Z(G)$ finite.

Arbitrary $k$ algebraically closed field $\underline{\phi}: Z(G) \longrightarrow k^{*}$
$\hat{G}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, k^{*}\right)$ continuous homomorphisms
hyperHecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$
$\overline{\mathcal{H} k \text {-vector space on triples }[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] \text { such that } Z(G) \subseteq H, K, \phi, \psi) .}$ restrict to give $\underline{\phi}$ on $Z(G)$

$$
(K, \psi) \leq\left(g^{-1} H g,(g)^{*}(\phi)\right)
$$

which means that $K \leq g^{-1} \mathrm{Hg}$ and that $\psi(k)=\phi(h)$ where $k=g^{-1} h g$ for $h \in H, k \in K$.
product

$$
\left[(H, \phi), g_{1},(J, \mu)\right] \cdot\left[(K, \psi), g_{2},(H, \phi)\right]=\left[(K, \psi), g_{1} g_{2},(J, \mu)\right]
$$

and zero otherwise.
$\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ is algebra given by $\mathcal{H}$ modulo relations

$$
[(K, \psi), g k,(H, \phi)]=\psi\left(k^{-1}\right)[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]
$$

and

$$
[(K, \psi), h g,(H, \phi)]=\phi\left(h^{-1}\right)[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] .
$$

The usual Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{G}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ where all the $\phi$ 's and $\psi$ 's are trivial.
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Induced representations and Comparison of inductions
In the case of finite groups this Appendix compares the "tensor product of modules" model of an induced representation with the "function space" model ${ }^{1}$.

Suppose that $H \subseteq G$ are finite groups and that $W$ is a vector space over an algebraically closed field $k$ together with a left $H$-action given by a homomorphism

$$
\phi: H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(W) .
$$

In this case the functional model for the induced representation is given by the $k$-vector space of functions $X_{(H, \phi)}$ consisting of functions of the form $f: G \longrightarrow W$ such that $f(h g)=\phi(h)(f(g))$. The left $G$-action on these functions is given by $(g \cdot f)(x)=f(x g)$.

For $w \in W$ we have a function $f_{w}$, supported in $H$ and satisfying $\left(h \cdot f_{w}\right)=f_{\phi(h)(w)}$ for $h \in H$ so that $f_{w}(1)=w$. We have a left $k[H]$-module map

$$
f: W \longrightarrow X_{(H, \phi)}
$$

defined by $w \mapsto f_{w}$.
The map $f$ induces a left $k[G]$-module map, which is an isomorphism,

$$
\hat{f}: \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)=k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} W \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{(H, \phi)}
$$

given by $\hat{f}\left(g \otimes_{k[H]} w\right)=g \cdot f_{w}$.
Henceforth, in this Appendix, I shall consider only the case when $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(W)=1$. In this case $W=k_{\phi}$ will denote the $H$-representation given by the action $h \cdot v=\phi(h) v$ for $h \in H, v \in k$.

As in Definition $\S 2$, write $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ for any triple consisting of $g \in$ $G$, characters $\phi, \psi$ on subgroups $H, K \leq G$, respectively such that

$$
(K, \psi) \leq\left(g^{-1} H g,(g)^{*}(\phi)\right)
$$

which means that $K \leq g^{-1} H g$ and that $\psi(k)=\phi(h)$ where $k=g^{-1} h g$ for $h \in H, k \in K$.

We have a well-defined left $k[G]$-module homomorphism

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]: k[G] \otimes_{k[K]} k_{\psi} \longrightarrow k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} k_{\phi}
$$

given by the formula $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]\left(g^{\prime} \otimes_{k[K]} v\right)=g^{\prime} g^{-1} \otimes_{k[H]} v$.

[^0]In order to define a left $k[G]$-homomorphism

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]: X_{(K, \psi)} \longrightarrow X_{(H, \phi)}
$$

satisfying the relation

$$
\hat{f} \cdot[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]=[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] \cdot \hat{f}: k[G] \otimes_{k[K]} k_{\psi} \longrightarrow X_{(H, \phi)}
$$

we set

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{v}\right)=\left(g_{1} g^{-1}\right) \cdot f_{v}
$$

It is easy to see that transporting the map $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ from the tensor product model of the induced representation to the function space model gives the left $k[G]$-homomorphism whose well-definedness we have just verified.

Among the left $k[G]$-maps

$$
k[G] \otimes_{k[K]} k_{\psi} \longrightarrow k[G] \otimes_{k[H]} k_{\phi}
$$

we have the relations, $h \in H, k \in K$

$$
[(K, \psi), g k,(H, \phi)]=[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] \cdot\left(1 \otimes_{k[K]} \psi\left(k^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
[(K, \psi), h g,(H, \phi)]=\left(1 \otimes_{k[H]} \phi\left(h^{-1}\right)\right) \cdot[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] .
$$

Theorem Let $M$ be the $k$-vector space which is given by the direct sum of copies of the $X_{(H, \phi)}$ 's. Then $M$ is a left module over the hyperHecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text {cmc }}(G)$.

We shall be interested in the case when $M$ contains at let one copy of $X_{(H, \phi)}$ for each $(H, \phi)$.

Roughly: ${ }_{k[G]}$ mon, the monomial category of $G$ has objects given by the these $M$ 's and morphisms given by the hyperHecke algebra

The Double Coset Formula ([18] Theorem 1.2.40) is a functorial isomorphism describing the restriction of an induced representation. It is a consequence of the $J$-orbit structure of the left action of a subgroup $J \subseteq G$ on $G / H$. This is a left $k[J]$-isomorphism of the form

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{J}^{G} \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \oplus_{z \in J \backslash G / H} \operatorname{Ind}_{J \cap z H z^{-1}}^{J}\left(\left(z^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(k_{\phi}\right)\right)
$$

given by $\alpha\left(g \otimes_{H} v\right)=j \otimes_{J \cap z H z^{-1}} \phi(h)(v)$ for $g=j z h, j \in J, h \in H$. The inverse of $\alpha$ is given by $\alpha^{-1}\left(j \otimes_{J \cap z H z^{-1}} v\right)=j z \otimes_{H}(v)$.

Remark: (i) For finite groups we can forget about the conditions on $(H, \phi)$ relating to the centre and $\phi$. This is only needed when $Z(G)$ is infinite.
(ii) The objective is to define what we mean by an resolution of a left $k[G]$-representation by an exact complex in ${ }_{k[G]}$ mon.
(iii) A natural construct as in (ii) would be of interest when $G$ is finite and $k$ has positive characteristic, even though the resolution would have infinite length in that case, but be of finite type. For a finite group and $k$ of characteristic zero the resolution will be finite.
(iv) The irreducible (admissible) modular representations of a $p$-adic $G L_{n}$ were classified in [11]. As we shall see, such representations also have monomial resolutions (presumably of infinite length in general) whose behaviour would be interesting.

## 2. Lecture Two: The bar-monomial Resolution: I. finite MODULO THE CENTRE CASE

The poset of $\mathcal{M}_{\phi}(G)$ of pairs $(H, \phi)$ admits a left $G$-action by conjugation for which the $G$-orbit of $(H, \phi)$ will be denoted by $(H, \phi)^{G}$.

## Definition

A finite $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable left $k[G]$-module $M^{2}$ is a left $k[G]$-module together with a fixed finite direct sum decomposition

$$
M=M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{m}
$$

where each of the $M_{i}$ is a free $k$-module of rank one on which $Z(G)$ acts via $\underline{\phi}$ and the $G$-action permutes the $M_{i}$. The $M_{i}$ 's are called the lines of $M$. For $\overline{1} \leq i \leq m$ let $H_{i}$ denote the subgroup of $G$ with stabilises the line $M_{i}$. Then there exists a unique $\phi_{i} \in \hat{H}_{i \phi}$ such that $h \cdot v=\phi_{i}(h) v$ for all $v \in M_{i}, h \in H_{i}$. The pair $\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$ is called the stabilising pair of $M_{i}$.

The $k$-submodule of $M$ given by

$$
M^{((H, \phi))}=\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq m,(H, \phi) \leq\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)} M_{i}
$$

is called the $(H, \phi)$-fixed points of $M$.
A morphism between $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable modules from $M$ to $N=N_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{n}$ is defined to be a $k[G]$-module homomorphism $f: M \longrightarrow N$ such that

$$
f\left(M^{((H, \phi))}\right) \subseteq N^{((H, \phi))}
$$

for all $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$.
The (left) finite $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable modules and their morphisms define an additive category denoted by $k[G], \underline{\phi}$ mon.

By definition each $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable module is a $k$-free $k[G]$-module so there is a forgetful functor

$$
\mathcal{V}:{ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \mathbf{m o n} \longrightarrow \quad k[G], \underline{\phi} \text { mod. }
$$

[^1]The usual natural operations and constructions for modules have analogues in $k[G], \underline{Q}$ mon.

The $M_{i}$ 's are isomorphic to $X_{(H, \phi)}$ 's and the morphisms are given by the equivalence classes of the triples $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ in the hyperHecke algebra. In fact they are the $k[G], \underline{\phi}$ mon-indecomposables.

## Proposition

(i) The set of ( $G, \phi)$-lineeable modules given by

$$
\left\{X_{(H, \phi)}=\underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right) \mid(H, \phi) \in G \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)\right\}
$$

is a full set of pairwise non-isomorphic representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in ${ }_{k[G], \phi}$ mon. Moreover any object in ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}}$ mon is canonically isomorphic to the direct sum of objects in this set.
(ii) Let $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ be one of the basic generators of the hyperHecke algebra $\left.\mathcal{H}_{c m c}\right)(G)$ of $\S 2$ then we have a morphism

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{K}^{G}\left(k_{\psi}\right), \underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right)\right)
$$

defined by the same formula as in the case of induced modules (see, Appendix: Comparison of Inductions). In addition the composition of morphisms in $k[G], \phi$ mon coincides with the product in the hyperHecke algebra.
(iii) Let $(K, \psi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$ and let $N$ be an object of ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \mathbf{m o n}$. Then there is a $k$-linear isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{\phi}}} \operatorname{mon}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{K}^{G}\left(k_{\psi}\right), N\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} N^{((K, \psi))}
$$

given by $f \mapsto f\left(1 \otimes_{K} 1\right)$. The inverse isomorphism is given by

$$
n \mapsto\left(\left(g \otimes_{K} v \mapsto v g \cdot n\right)\right) .
$$

Lemma Projectivity in ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\underline{m}}}$ mon
Consider the diagram

$$
M \xrightarrow{h} N \stackrel{f}{\leftrightarrows} P
$$

in which $M, P \in_{k[G], \phi}$ mon and $N \in_{k[G], \phi} \bmod$ with $h, f$ being morphisms in ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mod. Assume, for all $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$, that

$$
f\left(P^{((H, \phi))}\right) \subseteq h\left(M^{((H, \phi))}\right) .
$$

Then there exists $j \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \mathbf{m o n}(P, M)$ such that $h \cdot j=f$.

In particular we include the situation where $N^{\prime} \epsilon_{k[G], \phi}$ mon with $h, f$ being morphisms to $N^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}}$ mon and the diagram above being the result of applying the forgetful functor $\mathcal{V}$ with $N=\mathcal{V}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$.

For $V \in_{k[G], \phi} \bmod$ and $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\phi}(G)$ define the $(H, \phi)$-fixed points of $V$ by

$$
V^{(H, \phi)}=\{v \in V \mid h \cdot v=\phi(h) v \text { for all } h \in H\} .
$$

Definition ([19] Chapter One §2)
Let $V \epsilon_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mod. A ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolution of $V$ is a chain complex

$$
M_{*}: \quad \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} M_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} M_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} M_{0}
$$

with $M_{i} \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon and $\partial_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}\left(M_{i+1}, M_{i}\right)$ for all $i \geq 0$ together with $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}^{-} \bmod \left(\mathcal{V}\left(M_{0}\right), V\right)$ such that

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} M_{i}^{((H, \phi))} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} M_{1}^{((H, \phi))} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} M_{0}^{((H, \phi))} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V^{(H, \phi)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence of $k$-modules for each $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\phi}(G)$. In particular, when $(H, \phi)=(Z(G), \phi)$ we see that

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} M_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} M_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} M_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence in $k[G], \underline{\underline{Q}}$ mod.

## Proposition

Let $V \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \bmod$ and let

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow M_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-2}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} M_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

be a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolution of $V$. Suppose that

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^{\prime}} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-2}^{\prime}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}^{\prime}} C_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{\prime}} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

a chain complex where each $\partial_{i}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i}$ belong to ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon and $\epsilon^{\prime}$ is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{Q}} \bmod$ homomorphism such that $\epsilon^{\prime}\left(C_{0}^{((H, \phi))}\right) \subseteq V^{(H, \phi)}$ for each $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$.

Then there exists a chain map of $k[G], \underline{\underline{L}}$ mon-morphisms $\left\{f_{i}: C_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i}, i \geq\right.$ $0\}$ such that

$$
\epsilon \cdot f_{0}=\epsilon^{\prime}, f_{i-1} \cdot \partial_{i}^{\prime}=\partial_{i} \cdot f_{i} \text { for all } i \geq 1
$$

In addition, if $\left\{f_{i}^{\prime}: C_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i}, i \geq 0\right\}$ is another chain map of ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ monmorphisms such that $\epsilon \cdot f_{0}=\epsilon \cdot f_{0}^{\prime}$ then there exists a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-chain homotopy $\left\{s_{i}: C_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i+1}\right.$, for all $\left.i \geq 0\right\}$ such that $\partial_{i} \cdot s_{i}+s_{i-1} \cdot \partial_{i}^{\prime}=f_{i}-f_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $f_{0}-f_{0}^{\prime}=\partial_{0} \cdot s_{0}$.

## Remark

(i) Needless to say, the proposition has an analogue to the effect that every ${ }_{k[G], \phi}$ mod-homomorphism $V \longrightarrow V^{\prime}$ extends to a $k[G], \phi$ mon-morphism between the monomial resolutions of $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, if they exist, and the extension is unique up to ${ }_{k[G], \underline{,}}$ mon-chain homotopy.
(ii) The category ${ }_{k[G], \underline{Q}}$ mon is additive but not abelian. Homological algebra (e.g. a projective resolution) is more conveniently accomplished in an abelian category. To overcome this difficulty we shall embed ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon into more convenient abelian categories. This is reminiscent of the Freyd-Mitchell Theorem which embeds every abelian category into a category of modules.

A complex of functors
Let $M \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}, V \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \bmod$ and let $\mathcal{A}_{M}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \phi} \operatorname{mon}(M, M)$, the ring of endomorphisms on $M$ under composition. For $i \geq 0$ define $\tilde{M}_{M, i} \in$ ${ }_{k} \bmod$ by $\left(i\right.$ copies of $\left.\mathcal{A}_{M}\right)$

$$
\tilde{M}_{M, i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{\phi}}} \bmod (\mathcal{V}(M), V) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{M} \otimes_{k} \ldots \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{M}
$$

and set

$$
\underline{M}_{M, i}=\tilde{M}_{M, i} \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{\phi}}} \operatorname{mon}(-, M) .
$$

Hence $\underline{M}_{M, i} \in$ funct $_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \phi\right.$ mon $\left.{ }_{, k} \mathbf{m o d}\right)$ and in fact the values of this functor are not merely objects in ${ }_{k}$ mod because they have a natural right $\mathcal{A}_{M}$-module structure, defined as in $\S ? ?$.

If $i \geq 1$ we defined natural transformations $d_{M, 0}, d_{M, 1}, \ldots, d_{M, i}$ in the following way. Define

$$
d_{M, 0}: \underline{M}_{M, i} \longrightarrow \underline{M}_{M, i-1}
$$

by

$$
d_{M, 0}\left(f \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i} \otimes u\right)=f\left(-\cdot \alpha_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2} \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i} \otimes u
$$

The map $f\left(-\cdot \alpha_{1}\right): \mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow V$ is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{Q}}$ mod- homomorphism since $\alpha_{i}$ acts on the right of $M$.

For $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ we define

$$
d_{M, j}: \underline{M}_{M, i} \longrightarrow \underline{M}_{M, i-1}
$$

by

$$
d_{M, j}\left(f \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i} \otimes u\right)=f \otimes \alpha_{1} \ldots \otimes \alpha_{j} \alpha_{j+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i} \otimes u
$$

Finally

$$
d_{M, i}: \underline{M}_{M, i} \longrightarrow \underline{M}_{M, i-1}
$$

is given by

$$
d_{i}(M)\left(f \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i} \otimes u\right)=f \otimes \alpha_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i-1} \otimes \alpha_{i} \cdot u
$$

Since $u$ is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-morphism so is $\alpha_{i} \cdot u$ because

$$
\left(\alpha_{i} \cdot u\right)(\alpha m)=\alpha_{i}(u(\alpha m))=\alpha_{i}(\alpha u(m))=\alpha \alpha_{i}(u(m))=\alpha\left(\alpha_{i} \cdot u\right)(m)
$$

since $\alpha_{i}$ is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon endomorphism of $M$.

Next we define a natural transformation

$$
\epsilon_{M}: \underline{M}_{M, 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(V)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \bmod (\mathcal{V}(-), V)
$$

by sending $f \otimes u \in \underline{M}_{M, 0}$ to $f \cdot \mathcal{V}(u) \in \mathcal{I}(V)$.
Finally we define

$$
d_{M}=\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{j} d_{M, j}: \underline{M}_{M, i} \longrightarrow \underline{M}_{M, i-1} .
$$

Theorem (Relation with the bar resolution)
The sequence

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{M}} \underline{M}_{M, i}(M) \xrightarrow{d_{M}} \underline{M}_{M, i-1}(M) \ldots \xrightarrow{d_{M}} \underline{M}_{M, 0}(M) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{M}} \mathcal{I}(V)(M) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is the right $\mathcal{A}_{M}$-module bar resolution of $\mathcal{I}(V)(M)$.
Proposition (The abelian category)
Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the functor of introduced above and define a functor

$$
\mathcal{J}:_{k[G], \underline{Q}} \operatorname{mon} \longrightarrow \text { funct }_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\phi} \text { mon }{ }_{k} \bmod \right)
$$

by $\mathcal{J}(M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{Q}}} \operatorname{mon}(-, M)$.
Then the category funct $_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\Phi}\right.$ mon,$\left._{k} \mathbf{m o d}\right)$ is abelian. Furthermore both $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are full embeddings (i.e. bijective on morphisms and hence injective on isomorphism classes of objects).

Proposition (Projectivity)
For $M \quad \epsilon_{k[G], \phi}$ mon the functor $\mathcal{J}(M)$ in funct $_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\underline{Q}}\right.$ mon ${ }_{k}$ mod $)$ is projective.

Definition $\mathcal{K}_{M, V}$
Let $M \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon, $V \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \bmod$. Define a $k$-linear isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_{M, V}$ of the form

$$
\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{L}}} \bmod (\mathcal{V}(M), V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{M, V}} \operatorname{Hom}_{f u n c t_{k}^{+}(k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \operatorname{mon}, k \bmod \right)(\mathcal{J}(M), \mathcal{I}(V))
$$

by sending $f: \mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow V$ to the natural transformation

$$
\mathcal{K}_{M, V}(N): \mathcal{J}(M)(N) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(V)(N)
$$

given by $h \mapsto f \cdot \mathcal{V}(h)$ for all $N \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon

$$
\mathcal{J}(M)(N)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \operatorname{mon}(N, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \bmod (\mathcal{V}(N), V)=\mathcal{I}(V)(N) .
$$

The inverse isomorphism is given by $\mathcal{K}_{M, V}^{-1}(\phi)=\phi(M)\left(1_{M}\right)$ where $1_{M}$ denotes the identity morphism on $M$.

In fact $\mathcal{K}$ is a functorial equivalence of the form

$$
\mathcal{K}: \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \bmod (\mathcal{V}(-),-) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {funct }}^{t}{ }_{k}^{o}(k[G], \underline{\phi} \operatorname{mon}, k \boldsymbol{m o d})(\mathcal{J}(-), \mathcal{I}(-))
$$

Recognising a monomial resolution

## Theorem

Let

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}} M_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} M_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} M_{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

be a chain complex with $M_{i} \epsilon_{k[G], \phi}$ mon for $i \geq 0, V \epsilon_{k[G], \phi} \bmod$, $\partial_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}\left(M_{i+1}, M_{i}\right)$ and $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \bmod \left(\mathcal{V}\left(M_{0}\right), V\right)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $M_{*} \longrightarrow V$ is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolution of $V$.
(ii) The sequence

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}\left(\partial_{i}\right)} \mathcal{J}\left(M_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}\left(\partial_{i-1}\right)} \ldots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}\left(\partial_{1}\right)} \mathcal{J}\left(M_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}\left(\partial_{0}\right)} \mathcal{J}\left(M_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{M_{0}, V}(\epsilon)} \mathcal{I}(V) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact in funct $_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{Q}\right.$ mon ${ }_{k}$ mod $)$.
The functor $\Phi_{M}$
Let $M \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon and let $\mathcal{A}_{M}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}(M, M)$, the ring of endomorphisms on $M$ under composition. In the present context $\mathcal{A}_{M}$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra.

I shall show that there is an equivalence of categories between funct $t_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\phi} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { m o n }}{ }_{, k} \mathbf{\operatorname { m o d }}\right)$ and the category of right modules $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m o d }}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}$ for a suitable choice of $M$.

We have a functor

$$
\Phi_{M}: \text { funct }_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{mon}_{, k} \bmod \right) \longrightarrow \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{M}}
$$

given by $\Phi(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}(M)$. Right multiplication by $z \in \mathcal{A}_{M}$ on $v \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is given by

$$
v \# z=\mathcal{F}(z)(v)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(z): \mathcal{F}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$ is the left $k$-module morphism obtained by applying $\mathcal{F}$ to the endomorphism $z$. This is a right- $\mathcal{A}_{M}$ action since

$$
v \#\left(z z_{1}\right)=\mathcal{F}\left(z z_{1}\right)(v)=\left(\mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}(z)\right)(v)=\mathcal{F}\left(z_{1}\right)(\mathcal{F}(z)(v))=(v \# z) \# z_{1} .
$$

In the other direction define a functor

$$
\Psi_{M}: \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{M}} \longrightarrow \text { funct }_{k}^{o}(k[G], \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{mon}, k, \bmod ),
$$

for $P \in \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{M}}$, by

$$
\Psi_{M}(P)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \operatorname{mon}(M,-), P\right)
$$

Here, for $N \epsilon_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon, $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \boldsymbol{m o n}(M,-)$ is a right $\mathcal{A}_{M}$-module via precomposition by endomorphisms of $M$. For a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{M}$-modules $f: P \longrightarrow Q$ the map $\Psi_{M}(f)$ is given by composition with $f$.

Next we consider the composite functor

$$
\Phi_{M} \cdot \Psi_{M}: \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{M}} \longrightarrow \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{M}}
$$

This is given by $P \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\phi}} \operatorname{mon}(M, M), P\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{M}, P\right)$ so that there is an obvious natural transformation $\eta: 1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi_{M} \cdot \Psi_{M}$ such that $\eta(P)$ is an isomorphism for each module $P$.

Now consider the composite functor

$$
\Psi_{M} \cdot \Phi_{M}: \text { funct }_{k}^{o}(k[G], \underline{\phi} \operatorname{mon}, k, \bmod ) \longrightarrow \text { funct }_{k}^{o}(k[G], \underline{\phi} \operatorname{mon}, k,
$$

For a functor $\mathcal{F}$ we shall define a natural transformation

$$
\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\Phi}} \operatorname{mon}(M,-), \mathcal{F}(M)\right)=\Psi_{M} \cdot \Phi_{M}(\mathcal{F}) .
$$

For $N \epsilon_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon we define

$$
\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}}(N): \mathcal{F}(N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{M}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G], \underline{\underline{x}}} \operatorname{mon}(M, N), \mathcal{F}(M)\right)
$$

by the formula $v \mapsto(f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f)(v))$.
Theorem (Functors to modules and back)
Let $S \in_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon be the finite $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable $k$-module given by

$$
S=\oplus_{(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)} \underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\Phi_{S}: \text { funct }_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{mon},_{k} \bmod \right) \longrightarrow \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{S}}
$$

and

$$
\Psi_{S}: \bmod _{\mathcal{A}_{S}} \longrightarrow \text { funct }_{k}^{o}\left(k[G], \underline{\phi}, \operatorname{mon}_{, k} \bmod \right)
$$

are inverse equivalences of categories. In fact, the natural transformations $\eta$ and $\epsilon$ are isomorphisms of functors when $M=S$.

## Remark

The theorem remains true when $S$ is replaced by any $M$ which is the direct sum of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right)$ 's containing at least one pair $(H, \phi)$ from each $G$-orbit of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)$. That is, for any $(G, \underline{\phi})$-lineable $k$-module containing

$$
\oplus_{(H, \phi) \in G \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\phi}}(G)} \underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right)
$$

as a summand. This remark is established by Morita theory.
Let $V$ be a finite rank left $k[G]$-module. Let $M \in{ }_{k[G], \phi}$ mon and $W \in{ }_{k}$ lat. Define another object $W \otimes_{k} M \in{ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon by letting $\bar{G}$ act only on the $M$ factor, $g(w \otimes m)=w \otimes g m$, and defining the Lines of $W \otimes_{k} M$ to consist of the one-dimensional subspaces $\langle w \otimes L\rangle$ where $w \in W$, runs through a $k$-basis of $W$, and $L$ is a Line of $M$.

Theorem (Existence of the bar-monomial resolution)
Let $k$ be a field. The chain complex, which we met earlier in connection with the "chain complex of functors" paragraph,

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{S, i} \otimes_{k} S \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{S, 1} \otimes_{k} S \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{S, 0} \otimes_{k} S \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolution of $V$.

## Remark

(i) Since the theorem "from functors to modules and back" remains true when $S$ is replaced by any $M \in k[G], \phi$ mon which contains $S$ as a summand one may replace $S$ by such an $M$ in the above theorem to maintain another $k[G], \phi$ mon-resolution of $V$.
(ii) The bar-monomial resolution of bar-monomial resolution possesses a number of the usual naturality properties, as an object in the derived category of $k[G], \phi$ mon.
(iii) As mentioned earlier for finite groups we may forget about the central character $\phi$.

## 3. Lecture Three: $G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ analogues of the Langlands Programme

## PSH-algebras over the integers

3.1. A PSH-algebra is a connected, positive self-adjoint Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$. The notion was introduced in [20]. Let $R=\oplus_{n \geq 0} R_{n}$ be an augmented graded ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ with multiplication

$$
m: R \otimes R \longrightarrow R .
$$

Suppose also that $R$ is connected, which means that there is an augmentation ring homomorphism of the form

$$
\epsilon: \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} R_{0} \subset R .
$$

These maps satisfy associativity and unit conditions.
Associativity: $m(m \otimes 1)=m(1 \otimes m): R \otimes R \otimes R \longrightarrow R$.
Unit: $m(1 \otimes \epsilon)=1=m(\epsilon \otimes 1) ; R \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong R \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes R \longrightarrow R \otimes R \longrightarrow R$.
$R$ is a Hopf algebra if, in addition, there exist comultiplication and counit homomorphisms $m^{*}: R \longrightarrow R \otimes R$ and $\epsilon^{*}: R \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

Hopf $m^{*}$ is a ring homomorphism with respect to the product $(x \otimes y)\left(x^{\prime} \otimes\right.$ $\left.y^{\prime}\right)=x x^{\prime} \otimes y y^{\prime}$ on $R \otimes R$ and $\epsilon^{*}$ is a ring homomorphism restricting to an isomorphism on $R_{0}$. The homomorphism $m$ is a coalgebra homomorphism with respect to $m^{*}$.

The $m^{*}$ and $\epsilon^{*}$ also satisfy
Coassociativity: $\left(m^{*} \otimes 1\right) m^{*}=\left(1 \otimes m^{*}\right) m^{*}: R \longrightarrow R \otimes R \otimes R \longrightarrow R \otimes R \otimes R$

Counit: $m(1 \otimes \epsilon)=1=m(\epsilon \otimes 1) ; R \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong R \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes R \longrightarrow R \otimes R \longrightarrow R$.
$R$ is a cocomutative if
Cocommutative: $m^{*}=T \cdot m^{*}: R \longrightarrow R \otimes R$ where $T(x \otimes y)=y \otimes x$ on $R \otimes R$.

Suppose now that each $R_{n}$ (and hence $R$ by direct-sum of bases) is a free abelian group with a distinguished $\mathbb{Z}$-basis denoted by $\Omega\left(R_{n}\right)$. Hence $\Omega(R)$ is the disjoint union of the $\Omega\left(R_{n}\right)$ 's. With respect to the choice of basis the positive elements $R^{+}$of $R$ are defined by

$$
R^{+}=\left\{r \in R \mid r=\sum m_{\omega} \omega, m_{\omega} \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega(R)\right\}
$$

Motivated by the representation theoretic examples the elements of $\Omega(R)$ are called the irreducible elements of $R$ and if $r=\sum m_{\omega} \omega \in R^{+}$the elements $\omega \in \Omega(R)$ with $m_{\omega}>0$ are called the irreducible constituents of $r$.

Using the tensor products of basis elements as a basis for $R \otimes R$ we can similarly define $(R \otimes R)^{+}$and irreducible constituents etc.

Positivity:
$\bar{R}$ is a positive Hopf algebra if $m\left((R \otimes R)^{+}\right) \subset R^{+}, m^{*}\left(R^{+}\right) \subset(R \otimes$ $R)^{+}, \epsilon\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right) \subset R^{+}, \epsilon^{*}\left(R^{+}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Define inner products $\langle-,-\rangle$ on $R, R \otimes R$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ by requiring the chosen basis $(\Omega(\mathbb{Z})=\{1\})$ to be an orthonormal basis.

A positive Hopf $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra is self-adjoint if
Self-adjoint: $m$ and $m^{*}$ are adjoint to each other and so are $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{*}$.
The subgroup of primitive elements $P \subset R$ is given by

$$
P=\left\{r \in R \mid m^{*}(r)=r \otimes 1+1 \otimes r\right\}
$$

Let $\left\{R_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$ be a family of PSH algebras. Define the tensor product PSH algebra

$$
R=\otimes_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} R_{\alpha}
$$

to be the inductive limit of the finite tensor products $\otimes_{\alpha \in S} R_{\alpha}$ with $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ a finite subset. Define $\Omega(R)$ to be the disjoint union over finite subsets $S$ of $\prod_{\alpha \in S} \Omega\left(R_{\alpha}\right)$.

The following result of the PSH analogue of a structure theorem for Hopf algebras over the rationals due to Milnor-Moore.

Theorem (The Decomposition Theorem)
Any PSH algebra $R$ decomposes into the tensor product of PSH algebras with only one irreducible primitive element. Precisely, let $\mathcal{C}=\Omega \bigcap P$ denote the set of irreducible primitive elements in $R$. For any $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ set

$$
\Omega(\rho)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid\left\langle\omega, \rho^{n}\right\rangle \neq 0 \text { for some } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
R(\rho)=\oplus_{\omega \in \Omega(\rho)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \omega .
$$

Then $R(\rho)$ is a PSH algebra with set of irreducible elements $\Omega(\rho)$, whose unique irreducible primitive is $\rho$ and

$$
R=\otimes_{\rho \in \mathcal{C}} R(\rho) .
$$

The PSH algebra $R=\oplus_{n} R\left(G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
Let $R(G)$ denote the complex representation ring of a finite group $G$. Set $R=\oplus_{m \geq 0} R\left(G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with the interpretation that $R_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, an isomorphism which gives both a choice of unit and counit for $R$.

Let $U_{k, m-k} \subset G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k} & W \\
0 & I_{m-k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $W$ is an $k \times(m-k)$ matrix. Let $P_{k, m-k}$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ given by matrices obtained by replacing the identity matrices $I_{k}$ and $I_{m-k}$ in the condition for membership of $U_{k, m-k}$ by matrices from $G L_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $G L_{m-k} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ respectively. Hence there is a group extension of the form

$$
U_{k, m-k} \longrightarrow P_{k, m-k} \longrightarrow G L_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q} \times G L_{m-k} \mathbb{F}_{q} .
$$

If $V$ is a complex representation of $G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ then the fixed points $V^{U_{k, m-k}}$ is a representation of $G L_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q} \times G L_{m-k} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ which gives the ( $k, m-k$ ) component of

$$
m^{*}: R \longrightarrow R \otimes R .
$$

Given a representation $W$ of $G L_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q} \times G L_{m-k} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ so that $W \in R_{k} \otimes R_{m-k}$ we may form

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{k, m-k}}^{G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\operatorname{Inf}_{G L_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q} \times G L_{m-k} \mathbb{F}_{q}}^{P_{k, m-k}}(W)\right)
$$

which gives the $(k, m-k)$ component of

$$
m: R \otimes R \longrightarrow R .
$$

We choose a basis for $R_{m}$ to be the irreducible representations of $G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ so that $R^{+}$consists of the classes of representations (rather than virtual ones). Therefore it is clear that $m, m^{*}, \epsilon, \epsilon^{*}$ satisfy positivity. The inner product on $R$ is given by the Schur inner product so that for two representations $V, W$ of $G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we have

$$
\langle V, W\rangle=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}}(V, W)\right)
$$

and for $m \neq n R_{n}$ is orthogonal to $R_{m}$. As is well-known, with these choice of inner product, the basis of irreducible representations for $R$ is an orthonormal basis.

The irreducible primitive elements are represented by irreducible complex representations of $G L_{m} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ which have no non-zero fixed vector for any of the subgroups $U_{k, m-k}$. These representations are usually called cuspidal.

The decomposition theorem shows how all representations are derived from cuspidal ones. This fact has an analogue ([3] and [4]) for $G L_{n}$ of a local field.

Shintani base change/Shintani coorespondence ([19] Chapter Nine §6)

Let $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of irreducible complex representations of $G$.
Theorem ([16] Theorem 1)
There is a bijection

$$
S h: \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) .
$$

This fact also has an analogue, called "base change" [1], for $G L_{n}$ of a local field.

Theorem ([19] Chapter Nine §6.4)
The $\mathbb{Z}$-linear extension of the inverse Shintani correspondence yields an injective algebra homomorphism

$$
S h^{-1}: R^{\prime}=\oplus_{n} R\left(G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \longrightarrow R=\oplus_{n} R\left(G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)
$$

between the PSH-Hopf algebras introduced above.

## NOT A HOMOMORPHISM OF HOPF ALGEBRAS!!

Remark: In ([19] Chapter Eight §3.12) it is shown that the existence of the Shintani correspondence is equivalent to an integrality property of certain numbers derived from monomial-resolutions.

## Kondo-Gauss sums for $G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$

## Definition

Let $\rho: H \longrightarrow G L_{n} \mathbb{C}$ denote a representation of a subgroup $H$ of $G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$. If $q$ is a power of the prime $p$ we have the (additive) trace map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

In addition we have the matrix trace map

$$
\text { Trace }: G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

Define a measure map $\Psi$ on matrices $X \in G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ by

$$
\Psi(X)=e^{\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{T}_{\mathrm{r}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}(\operatorname{Trace}(X))}{p}}
$$

which is denoted by $e_{1}[X]$ in [12]. Let $\chi_{\rho}$ denote the character function of $\rho$ which assigns to $X$ the trace of the complex matrix $\rho(X)$.

Define a complex number $W_{H}(\rho)$ by the formula

$$
W_{H}(\rho)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\rho)} \sum_{X \in H} \chi_{\rho}(X) \Psi(X)
$$

When $H=G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\rho$ is irreducible $W_{G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}}(\rho)=w(\rho)$, the KondoGauss sum which is introduced and computed in [12].

## Theorem 3.2.

Let $\sigma$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $H \subseteq G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then for any subgroup $J$ such that $H \subseteq J \subseteq G L_{n} \mathbb{F}_{q}$

$$
W_{H}(\sigma)=W_{J}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{J}(\sigma)\right) .
$$

## Remark:

(i) The Kondo-Gauss sum has an analogue, called the epsilon factor, in the case of admissible representations of $p$-adic $G L_{n}$.
(ii) In the case of the field of one element (i.e. $G L_{n}$ is the symmetric group $\Sigma_{n}$ ) the associated PSH algebra is particularly simple [20]. Furthermore there is a very nice formula, which I learned from Francesco Mezzadri, for the Kondo-Gauss sum of an irreducible representation in terms of the partition representing it ([19] Appendix III §1.7).

## The Bernstein centre

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category then its centre $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is the ring of endomorphisms of the identity functor of $A$. Explicitly, for each object $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ there is given an endomorphism $z_{A} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, A)$ such that for any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$ one has $z_{B} f=f z_{A}$.

If the category $\mathcal{A}$ is the product of abelian categories $\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ then one has $Z(\mathcal{A})=\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Z\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}\right)$.

Suppose the category $\mathcal{A}$ admits direct sums indexed by $\mathcal{I}$ such that any morphism $f: X \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Y_{i}$ is zero if and only if all the projections

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} \oplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Y_{i} \xrightarrow{p r_{i}} Y_{i}
$$

are zero.
This property holds for the category of algebraic (i.e. smooth) representations of a reductive group over a non-Archimedean local field ([9] p.5).

Under the above condition $\mathcal{A}$ is the product of full subcategories $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that
(i) if $X \in A_{i}$ and $Y \in A_{j}$ then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)=0$ if $i \neq j$ and
(ii) for all objects $X$ we have $X=\oplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} X_{i}$ with $X_{i}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{i}$.

## Resolutions and the centre of $\mathcal{A}$

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category and that $\mathcal{B}$ is an additive category together with a forgetful functor $\nu: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and suppose that for each object $V \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ we have a $\mathcal{B}$-resolution of $V$. This means a chain complex in $\mathcal{B}$

$$
\xrightarrow{d} M_{i} \xrightarrow{d} M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} M_{0} 0
$$

such that

$$
\longrightarrow \nu\left(M_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \nu\left(M_{i-1}\right) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \nu\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact in $\mathcal{A}$. In addition suppose that the association $V \mapsto M_{*}$ is functorial into the derived category of $\mathcal{B}$.

Thus any two choices of $\mathcal{B}$-resolution for $V$ are chain homotopy equivalent in $\mathcal{B}$ and any morphism $f: V \longrightarrow V^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ induces a $\mathcal{B}$-chain map, $f_{*}$ unique up to chain homotopy, between the resolutions.

Now consider a family giving an element in the centre of $\mathcal{A}$ which yields $z_{V}: V \longrightarrow V$ and $z_{V^{\prime}}: V^{\prime} \longrightarrow V^{\prime}$ satisfying $f z_{V}=z_{V^{\prime}} f$ for all $f$. Fix resolutions for $V$ and $V^{\prime}$. Then $z_{V}$ induces a chain map $\left(z_{V}\right)_{*}$ on $M_{*}$ and another $\left(z_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{*}$ on $M_{*}^{\prime}$. The morphism $f$ induces a chain map $f_{*}: M_{*} \longrightarrow M_{*}^{\prime}$ and because $f_{*}\left(z_{V}\right)_{*}$ is chain homotopic to $\left(z_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{*} f_{*}$ the pair of $\mathcal{A}$-morphisms $\nu\left(f_{i}\right) \nu\left(z_{V}\right)_{i}$ and $\nu\left(z_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{i} \nu\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1$ induce $f z_{V}=z_{V^{\prime}} f$ and so $\nu\left(z_{V}\right)_{i}$ and $\nu\left(z_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ induce the elements $z_{V}, z_{V^{\prime}}$ of the central family.

Conversely the degree 0 and 1 , for any choice of resolution of $V$ determine a central morphism $z_{V}$. When $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of (smooth) representations of $G$ the morphisms $\left(z_{V}\right)_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are described in terms of elements of the hyperHecke algebra satisfying certain commutativity conditions (I call them the monocentric conditions), -which I shall now describe.

## The monocentre of a group

As $(K, \psi)$ varies over $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$ suppose that we have a family of elements of $G,\left\{x_{(K, \psi)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi)\right\}$ indexed by pairs $(K, \psi)$ where $\operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi)$ denotes the stabiliser of $(K, \psi)$

$$
\operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi)=\left\{z \in G \mid z K z^{-1}=K, \psi\left(z k z^{-1}\right)=\psi(k) \text { for all } k \in K\right\}
$$

This is equivalent to $K \leq x_{(K, \psi)}^{-1} K x_{(K, \psi)}$ and, for all $k \in K$,

$$
\psi\left(x_{(K, \psi)}^{-1} k x_{(K, \psi)}\right)=\psi(k)=x_{(K, \psi)}^{*}(\psi)\left(x_{(K, \psi)}^{-1} k x_{(K, \psi)}\right)
$$

so that $\left[(K, \psi), x_{(K, \psi)},(K, \psi)\right]$ is one of the basis vectors for $\mathcal{H}$ of $\S 2$.
Next suppose that $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$ and $x_{(H, \phi)}$ are similar data for another pair and that $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ is another basis element of $\mathcal{H}$.

The monocentre condition relating these elements is defined by
(i) $g x_{(K, \psi)} g^{-1} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}(H, \phi)$
and
(ii) $g x_{(K, \psi)} g^{-1}=x_{(H, \phi)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}(H, \phi) / \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$.

Observe that $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{stab}_{G}(H, \phi)$. Therefore if $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)], x_{(K, \psi)}$ and $x_{(H, \phi)}$ satisfy the monocentre condition then so do $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)], x_{(K, \psi)}^{-1}$ and $x_{(H, \phi)}^{-1}$.

Furthermore, if $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)], x_{(K, \psi)}$ and $x_{(H, \phi)}$ satisfy the monocentre condition and $w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \leq K$ then $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)], x_{(K, \psi)} w$ and $x_{(H, \phi)} g w g^{-1}$ also satisfy the condition and $g w g^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) \leq H$.

## Proposition

The monocentre condition implies that the two compositions

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] \cdot\left[(K, \psi), x_{(K, \psi)},(K, \psi)\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[(H, \phi), x_{(H, \phi)},(H, \phi)\right] \cdot[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]
$$

are equal in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$.
Definition (The monocentre group of $G$ )
The monocentre of $G$, denoted by $Z_{\mathcal{M}}(G)$, is the set of families $\left\{x_{(K, \psi)} \in\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi) / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)\right\}$ such that for every $x_{(K, \psi)}, x_{(H, \phi)}$ and $g$ such that $(K, \psi) \leq$ $\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{Hg},(g)^{*}(\phi)\right)$ the monocentre condition holds, as introduced above.

Multiplication in $G$ induces a group structure on $Z_{\mathcal{M}}(G)$.
As we shall see in more detail, because the monocentre condition includes a central character which is common to the pairs $(K, \psi)$ and $(H, \phi), Z_{\mathcal{M}}(G)$ is the product of subgroups $Z_{\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \Phi}}(G)$ indexed by the set of central characters, $\phi$.

## Theorem

The monocentre group, $Z_{\mathcal{M}}(G)$, is the product of the subgroups $Z_{\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}}(G)$ as $\phi$ varies over the central characters. Also the set of elements in a family $\left\{x_{(K, \psi)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi) / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)\right\}$ representing an element of $Z_{\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}}(G)$ are determined by the

$$
x_{(Z(G), \underline{\phi})} \in G / \operatorname{Ker}(\underline{\phi})
$$

such that the image of $x_{(Z(G), \phi)}$ represents an element $x_{(K, \psi)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}(K, \psi) / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ for every $(K, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}$.

## Example

The dihedral group of order eight is given by

$$
D_{8}=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{4}=1=y^{2}, y x y=x^{3}\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
Z_{\mathcal{M}}\left(D_{8}\right)=Z_{\mathcal{M}_{c m c, 1}}\left(D_{8}\right) \times Z_{\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \chi}}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong D_{8} /\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle \times\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle .
$$

## Remark

(i) The monocentre group is an entertaining construction, but it will turn out to be too restrictive for our purposes. Although it might be less trivial even useful! - in the case of modular representations.
(ii) More important is the situation "resolutions and the centre of $\mathcal{A}$ ". Fix a central character $\underline{\phi}$ as usual.

In terms of monocentric conditions this situation is equivalent to the following:

Suppose, for $i=1,2$, that we are given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(K_{i}, \psi_{i}\right), g_{i},\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right] \text { and }} \\
& \left\{x_{\left(K_{i}, \psi_{i}\right)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}\left(K_{i}, \psi_{i}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\psi_{i}\right)\right\} \text { and } \\
& \left\{x_{\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)} \in \operatorname{stab}_{G}\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\phi_{i}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfy both

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), x_{\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)},\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{1},\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{1},\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), x_{\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)},\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right), x_{\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right), g_{2},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right), g_{2},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right), x_{\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under these conditions we require that for all

$$
\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), g_{3},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \text { and }\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{4},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right]
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), g_{3},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{1},\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right), g_{2},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{4},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

the $\left\{x_{\left(K_{i}, \psi_{i}\right)}, x_{\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right), x_{\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), g_{3},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), g_{3},\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right), x_{\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)},\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and also that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right), x_{\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{4},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), g_{4},\left(K_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), x_{\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)},\left(K_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Lecture Four: Smooth representations of locally p-dic GROUPS

## Extending the definition of admissibility

If $G$ is a locally profinite group and $k$ is an algebraically closed field then a $k$-representation of $G$ is a vector space $V$ with a left, $k$-linear $G$-action. Let $\underline{\phi}: Z(G) \longrightarrow k^{*}$ be a continuous character on the centre of $G$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}(G)$, as in $\S 2$, denote the poset of pairs $(H, \phi)$ where $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, such that $Z(G) \subseteq H$, which is compact open modulo the centre and $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ is a continuous character which extends $\phi$.

Suppose that $V$ is acted upon by $g \in \overline{Z( } G)$ via multiplication by $\phi(g)$. The representation $V$ is called smooth if

$$
V=\bigcup_{K \subset G, K} \bigcup_{\text {compact,open }} V^{K} .
$$

$V$ is called admissible if $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V^{K}\right)<\infty$ for all compact open subgroups $K$. Define a subspace of $V$, denoted by $V^{(H, \phi)}$, for $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$ by

$$
V^{(H, \phi)}=\{v \in V \mid g \cdot v=\phi(g) v \text { for all } g \in H\} .
$$

Hence $V^{K}=V^{(Z(G) \cdot K, \phi)}$ if $\phi$ is a continuous character which is trivial on $K$.
We shall say that $V$ is $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}(G)$-smooth if

$$
V=\bigcup_{(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}(G)}} V^{(H, \phi)} .
$$

In addition we shall say that $V$ is $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}(G)$-admissible if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V^{(H, \phi)}<\infty$ for all $(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}(G)$.

## Proposition 4.1.

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group and let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $V$ be a $k$-representation of $G$ with central character $\phi$. Suppose that
every continuous, $k$-valued character of a compact open subgroup of $G$ has finite image. Then $V$ is $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissible if and only if it is admissible.

## Proof:

If $K$ is compact open then $K \bigcap Z(G)$ is also compact open. It is certainly compact, being a closed subset of a compact subspace. For $G=G L_{n} F$ with $F$ a $p$-adic local field the assumption it true. More generally, it holds if the quotient of $Z(G)$ by its maximal compact subgroup is discrete ${ }^{3}$.

Suppose that $V$ is admissible. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ which is compact open modulo the centre then $H=Z(G) \cdot K$ for some compact open subgroup. In this case supose that $\phi$ is a character of $H$ extending the central character. Then $V^{(H, \phi)}=V^{(K, \mu)}$ where $\mu=\operatorname{Res}_{K}^{H}(\phi)$. Since the image of $\mu$ is finite the kernel of $\mu$ is compact open and $V^{(K, \mu)} \subseteq V^{\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)}$, which is finite-dimensional.

Next suppose that $0 \neq v \in V$. There exists a compact open subgroup $K$ such that $v \in V^{K}$. Set $H=Z(G) \cdot K$, which is compact open modulo $Z(G) \subset$ $H$. If $g \in Z(G) \bigcap K$ then $v=g \cdot v=\phi(g) \cdot v$ so that the central character is trivial on $Z(G) \bigcap K$. Hence the central character induces a character $\lambda$ on $H$ which factors through $K / Z(G) \bigcap K \cong Z(G) \cdot K / K$ and so $v \in V^{(H, \lambda)}$, which completes the proof of $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissibility.

Assume that $V$ is $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(\bar{G})$-admissible. If $0 \neq v \in V$ belongs to $V^{(H, \phi)}$ where $H$ is compact open modulo the centre then $H=Z(G) \cdot K$ where $K$ is compact open. Hence $v \in V^{J}$ where $J$ is the compact open subgroup given by $J=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{K}^{H}(\phi)\right)$.

Next suppose that $K$ is a compact open subgroup. If $V^{K}$ is non-trivial then $V^{K} \subseteq V^{(Z(G) \cdot K, \lambda)}$ where $\lambda: H=Z(G) \cdot K \longrightarrow k^{*}$ is the character which was constructed in the first half of the proof. Since $V^{(Z(G) \cdot K, \lambda)}$ is assumed to be finite-dimensional this concludes the proof of admissibility.

## Question 4.2. Di-p-adic Langlands

In the last 20 years I believe that several authors have studied the " $p$-adic Langlands programme". This is the situation where, for example, one studies "admissible" representations of a locally $p$-adic Lie group on vector spaces over the algebraic closure of a $p$-adic local field (or its residue field).

I intend to called this the di- $p$-adic situation since it is no more complicated to say and indicates the involvement of $p$-adic fields twice. In addition to [2] there are lots of papers on this subject ${ }^{4}$ and a useful source for these (brought to my attention by Rob Kurinczuk) is the bibliography of [8].

The question arises: Are the sort of representations considered by the di-$p$-adic professionals $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \underline{\phi}}(G)$-admissible?

[^2]
## Smooth representations and Hecke modules

In this Appendix, for my convenience, representations are complex representations.

Now let $\Gamma$ be a compact totally disconnected group. Denote by $\hat{\Gamma}$ the set of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\Gamma$ whose kernel is open - and hence of finite index in $\Gamma$.

Suppose now that $\Gamma$ is finite and $(\pi, V)$ is a representation of $\Gamma$ on a possible infinite dimensional vector space $V$. If $\rho \in \hat{\Gamma}$ let $V(\rho)$ be the sum of all invariant subspaces of $V$ that are isomorphic as $\Gamma$-modules to $V_{\rho} . V(\rho)$ is the $\rho$-isotypic subspace of $V$. We have

$$
V \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \hat{\Gamma}} V_{\rho} .
$$

Now we generalise this to smooth representations of a totally disconnected locally compact group. Choose a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G$. The compact open normal subgroups of $K$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of the identity in $K$. Let $\rho \in \hat{K}$ then the kernel of $\rho$ is $K_{\rho}$ a compact open normal subgroup of finite index.

Proposition 4.3. ([7] Proposition 4.2.2)
Let $(\pi, V)$ be a smooth representation of $G$. Then

$$
V \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \hat{K}} V_{\rho}
$$

The representation $\pi$ is admissible if and only if each $V(\rho)$ is finite-dimensional.
Let $(\pi, V)$ be a smooth representation of $G$. If $\hat{v}: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional we write $\langle v, \hat{v}\rangle=\hat{v}(v)$ for $v \in V$. We say $\hat{v}$ is smooth if there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $1 \in G$ such that for all $g \in U$

$$
\langle\pi(g)(v), \hat{v}\rangle=\hat{v}(v) .
$$

Let $\hat{V}$ denote the space of smooth linear functionals on $V$.
Define the contragredient representation $(\hat{\pi}, \hat{V})$ is defined by

$$
\langle v, \hat{\pi}(g)(\hat{v})\rangle=\left\langle\pi\left(g^{-1}\right)(v), \hat{v}\right\rangle .
$$

The contragredient representation of a smooth representation is a smooth representation. Also

$$
\hat{V} \cong \oplus_{\rho \in \hat{K}} V_{\rho}^{*}
$$

where $V_{\rho}^{*}$ is the dual space of $V_{\rho}$.
Since the dual of a finite-dimensional $V_{\rho}$ is again finite-dimensional the contragredient of an admissible representation is also admissible. Also $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}=\pi$.

If $X$ is a totally disconnected space a complex valued function $f$ on $X$ is smooth if it is locally constant. Let $\mathcal{H}_{G}$ be, as before, the space of smooth compactly supported complex-valued functions on $X=G$. Assuming $G$ is unimodular $\mathcal{H}_{G}$ is an algebra without unit under the convolution product

$$
\left.\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)(g)=\int_{G} \phi_{1}\left(g h^{-1}\right) \phi_{2}\right)(h) d h
$$

This is the Hecke algebra - an idempotented algebra (see $\S 6$ ).
If $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ define $\pi(\phi) \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ with $V$ as above

$$
\pi(\phi)(v)=\int_{G} \phi(g) \pi(g)(v) d g
$$

Then

$$
\pi\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)=\pi\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cdot \pi\left(\phi_{2}\right)
$$

so that $V$ is an $\mathcal{H}$-representation.
The integral defining $\phi$ may be replaced by a finite sum as follows. Choose an open subgroup $K_{0}$ fixing $v$. Choosing $K_{0}$ small enough we may assume that the support of $\phi$ is contained in a finite union of left cosets $\left\{g_{i} K_{0} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\right\}$. Then

$$
\pi(\phi)(v)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{0}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \phi\left(g_{i}\right) \pi\left(g_{i}\right)(v)
$$

## Finite group example:

Let $(\pi, V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of a finite group $G$. Write $\mathcal{H}$ for the space of functions from $G$ to $\mathbb{C}$. If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$ define $\phi_{1} * \phi_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)(g)=\sum_{h \in G} \phi_{1}\left(g h^{-1}\right) \phi_{2}(h) .
$$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ define $\pi(\phi) \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ by

$$
\pi(\phi)(v)=\sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \pi(g)(v) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(\phi_{1}\left(\pi\left(\phi_{2}\right)(v)\right)\right. \\
& =\pi\left(\phi_{1}\right)\left(\sum_{g \in G} \phi_{2}(g) \pi(g)(v)\right) \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \phi_{2}(g) \pi\left(\phi_{1}(\pi(g)(v))\right. \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \phi_{2}(g) \sum_{\tilde{g} \in G} \phi_{1}(\tilde{g})(\pi(\tilde{g}(\pi(g)(v)) \\
& =\sum_{g, \tilde{g} \in G} \phi_{2}(g) \phi_{1}(\tilde{g})(\pi(\tilde{g} g)(v)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)(v) \\
& =\sum_{g_{1} \in G}\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)\left(g_{1}\right) \pi\left(g_{1}\right)(v) \\
& =\sum_{g_{1}, h \in G} \phi_{1}\left(h_{1} h^{-1}\right) \phi_{2}(h) \pi\left(g_{1}\right)(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $g=h, \tilde{g} g=g_{1}$ shows that

$$
\pi\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)=\pi\left(\phi_{1} \cdot \pi\left(\phi_{2}\right) .\right.
$$

Also $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$ because if $f_{g}(x)=0$ if $g \neq x$ and $f_{g}(g)=1$ then

$$
f_{g} * f_{g^{\prime}}=f_{g g^{\prime}}
$$

Proposition 4.4. ([7] Proposition 4.2.3)
Let $(\pi, V)$ be a smooth non-zero representation of $G$. Then equivalent are:
(i) $\pi$ is irreducible.
(ii) $V$ is a simple $\mathcal{H}$-module.
(iii) $V^{K_{0}}$ is either zero or simple as an $\mathcal{H}_{K_{0}}$-module for all open subgroups $K_{0}$. Here $\mathcal{H}_{K_{0}}=e_{K_{0}} * \mathcal{H} * e_{K_{0}}$.

Schur's Lemma holds ([7] §4.2.4)for ( $\pi, V$ ) an irreducible admissible representation of a totally disconnected group $G$.
Proposition 4.5. ([7] Proposition 4.2.5)
Let $(\pi, V)$ be an admissible representation of the totally disconnected locally compact group $G$ with contragredient $(\hat{\pi}, \hat{V})$. Let $K_{0} \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then the canonical pairing between $V$ and $\hat{V}$ induces a nondegenerate pairing betweem $V^{K_{0}}$ and $\hat{V}^{K_{0}}$.

## The trace

As with representations of finite groups the character of an admissible representation of a totally disconnected locally compact group $G$ is an important invariant. It is a distribution. It is a theorem of Harish-Chandra that if $G$ is a reductive $p$-adic group then the character is in fact a locally integrable function defined on a dense subset of $G$.

We shall define the character as a distribution on $\mathcal{H}_{G}=C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$. Suppose that $U$ is a finite-dimensional vector space and let $f: U \longrightarrow U$ be a linear map. Suppose $\operatorname{Im}(f) \subseteq U_{0} \subseteq U$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Trace}\left(f: U_{0} \longrightarrow U_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Trace}(f: U \longrightarrow U)
$$

Therefore we may define the trace of any endomorphism $f$ of $V$ which has finite rank by choosing any finite-dimensional $U_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(f) \subseteq U_{0} \subseteq V$ and by defining

$$
\operatorname{Trace}(f)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(f: U_{0} \longrightarrow U_{0}\right)
$$

Now let $(\pi, V)$ be an admissible representation of $G$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{G}$. Since $\phi$ is compactly supported and locally constant there exists a compact open $K_{0}$ such that $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{K_{0}}$. The endomorphism $\pi(\phi)$ has image in $V^{K_{0}}$ which is finite-dimensional - by admissibility - so we define the trace distribution

$$
\chi_{V}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

by

$$
\chi_{V}(\phi)=\operatorname{Trace}(\pi(\phi))
$$

Proposition 4.6. ([7] Proposition 4.2.6)
Let $R$ be an algebra over a field $k$. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be simple $R$-modules that are finite-dimensional over $k$. For each $\phi \in R$ if

$$
\operatorname{Trace}\left((\phi \cdot-): E_{1} \longrightarrow E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Trace}\left((\phi \cdot-): E_{2} \longrightarrow E_{2}\right)
$$

then the $E_{i}$ are isomorphic $R$-modules.
Proposition 4.7. ([7] Proposition 4.2.7)
Let $\left(\pi_{1}, V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\pi_{2}, V_{2}\right)$ be irreducible admissible representations of $G$ (as above) such that, for each compact open $K_{1}, V_{1}^{K_{1}} \cong V_{2}^{K_{1}}$ as $\mathcal{H}_{K_{1}}$-modules then $\left(\pi_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cong\left(\pi_{2}, V_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 4.8. ([7] Theorem 4.2.1)
Let $\left(\pi_{1}, V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\pi_{2}, V_{2}\right)$ be irreducible admissible representations of $G$ (as above) such that $\chi_{V_{1}}=\chi_{V_{2}}$ then $\left(\pi_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cong\left(\pi_{2}, V_{2}\right)$.

From this one sees that the contragredient of an admissible irreducible $(\pi, V)$ of $G L_{n} K$ ( $K$ a $p$-adic local field) is given by $\pi_{1}(g)=\pi\left(\left(g^{-1}\right)^{t r}\right)$ on the same vector space $V$.

## Induced representations and locally profinite groups

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group. In this section we are going to study admissible representations of $G$ and its subgroups in relation to induction. These representations will be given by left-actions of the groups on vector spaces over $k$, which is an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic.

Let us begin by recalling, from ([19] Chapter Two §1), induced and compactly induced smooth representations.

## Definition 4.9.

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup. Thus $H$ is also locally profinite. Let

$$
\sigma: H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(W)
$$

be a smooth representation of $H$. Set $X$ equal to the space of functions $f: G \longrightarrow W$ such that (writing simply $h \cdot w$ for $\sigma(h)(w)$ if $h \in H, w \in W$ )
(i) $f(h g)=h \cdot f(g)$ for all $h \in H, g \in G$,
(ii) there is a compact open subgroup $K_{f} \subseteq G$ such that $f(g k)=f(g)$ for all $g \in G, k \in K_{f}$.

The (left) action of $G$ on $X$ is given by $(g \cdot f)(x)=f(x g)$ and

$$
\Sigma: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(X)
$$

gives a smooth representation of $G$.
The representation $\Sigma$ is called the representation of $G$ smoothly induced from $\sigma$ and is usually denoted by $\Sigma=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\sigma)$.
4.10.

$$
(g \cdot f)\left(h g_{1}\right)=f\left(h g_{1} g\right)=h f\left(g_{1} g\right)=h(g \cdot f)\left(g_{1}\right)
$$

so that $(g \cdot f)$ satisfies condition (i) of Definition 4.9.
Also, by the same discussion as in the finite group case, the formula will give a left $G$-representation, providing that $g \cdot f \in X$ when $f \in X$. However, condition (ii) asserts that there exists a compact open subgroup $K_{f}$ such
that $k \cdot f=f$ for all $k \in K_{f}$. The subgroup $g K_{f} g^{-1}$ is also a compact open subgroup and, if $k \in K_{f}$, we have

$$
\left(g k g^{-1}\right) \cdot(g \cdot f)=\left(g k g^{-1} g\right) \cdot f=(g k) \cdot f=(g \cdot(k \cdot f))=(g \cdot f)
$$

so that $g \cdot f \in X$, as required.
The smooth representations of $G$ form an abelian category $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$.

## Proposition 4.11.

The functor

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}: \operatorname{Rep}(H) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}(G)
$$

is additive and exact.
Proposition 4.12. (Frobenius Reciprocity)
There is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\sigma)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \sigma)
$$

given by $\phi \mapsto \alpha \cdot \phi$ where $\alpha$ is the $H$-map

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\sigma) \longrightarrow \sigma
$$

given by $\alpha(f)=f(1)$.
4.13. In general, if $H \subseteq Q$ are two closed subgroups there is a $Q$-map

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\sigma) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{Q}(\sigma)
$$

given by restriction of functions. Note that $\alpha$ in Proposition 4.12 is the special case where $H=Q$.

### 4.14. The c-Ind variation

Inside $X$ let $X_{c}$ denote the set of functions which are compactly supported modulo $H$. This means that the image of the support

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f)=\{g \in G \mid f(g) \neq 0\}
$$

has compact image in $H \backslash G$. Alternatively there is a compact subset $C \subseteq G$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq H \cdot C$.

The $\Sigma$-action on $X$ preserves $X_{c}$, since $\operatorname{supp}(g \cdot f)=\operatorname{supp}(f) g^{-1} \subseteq H C g^{-1}$, and we obtain $X_{c}=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)$, the compact induction of $W$ from $H$ to $G$.

This construction is of particular interest when $H$ is open. There is a canonical left $H$-map (see the Appendix in induction in the case of finite groups)

$$
f: W \longrightarrow c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)
$$

given by $w \mapsto f_{w}$ where $f_{w}$ is supported in $H$ and $f_{w}(h)=h \cdot w$ (so $f_{w}(g)=0$ if $g \notin H)$.

For $g \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g \cdot f_{w}\right)(x)=f_{w}(x g) & =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x g \notin H, \\
\left(x g^{-1}\right) \cdot w & \text { if } x g \in H,
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x \notin H g^{-1} \\
\left(x g^{-1}\right) \cdot w & \text { if } x \in H g^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall be particularly interested in the case when $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(W)=1$. In this case we write $W=k_{\phi}$ where $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ is a continuous/smooth character and, as a vector space with a left $H$-action $W=k$ on which $h \in H$ acts by multiplication by $\phi(h)$. In this case $\alpha_{c}$ is an injective left $k[H]$-module homomorphism of the form

$$
f: k_{\phi} \longrightarrow c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right) .
$$

## Lemma 4.15.

Let $H$ be an open subgroup of $G$. Then
(i) $f: w \mapsto f_{w}$ is an $H$-isomorphism onto the space of functions $f \in$ $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq H$.
(ii) If $w \in W$ and $h \in H$ then $h \cdot f_{w}=f_{h \cdot w}$.
(iii) If $\mathcal{W}$ is a $k$-basis of $W$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of coset representatives for $H \backslash G$ then

$$
\left\{g \cdot f_{w} \mid w \in \mathcal{W}, g \in \mathcal{G}\right\}
$$

is a $k$-basis of $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)$.

## Proof

If $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ is compact modulo $H$ there exists a compact subset $C$ such that

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq H C=\bigcup_{c \in C} H c
$$

Each $H c$ is open so the open covering of $C$ by the $H c$ 's refines to a finite covering and so

$$
C=H c_{1} \bigcup \ldots \bigcup H c_{n}
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq H C=H c_{1} \bigcup \ldots \bigcup H c_{n}
$$

For part (i), the map $f$ is an $H$-homomorphism to the space of functions supported in $H$ with inverse map $f \mapsto f(1)$.

For part (ii), from §?? we have

$$
\left(h \cdot f_{w}\right)(x)=f_{w}(x h)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \notin H \\ x h \cdot w & \text { if } x \in H\end{cases}
$$

so that, for all $x \in G,\left(h \cdot f_{w}\right)(x)=f_{h \cdot w}(x)$, as required.

For part (iii), the support of any $f \in c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)$ is a finite union of cosets $H g$ where the $g$ 's are chosen from the set of coset representatives $\mathcal{G}$ of $H \backslash G$. The restriction of $f$ to any one of these $H g$ 's also lies in $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W)$. If $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq H g$ then $(g \cdot f)(z) \neq 0$ implies that $z g \in H g$ so that $g \cdot f$ has support contained in $H$. Hence $g \cdot f$ on $H$ is a finite linear combination of the functions $f_{w}$ with $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore $f$ is a finite linear combination of $g \cdot f_{w}$ 's where $w \in \mathcal{W}, g \in \mathcal{G}$. Clearly the set of functions $g \cdot f_{w}$ with $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ is linearly independent.

Example 4.16. Let $K$ be a $p$-adic local field with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $\pi_{K}$ a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Suppose that $G=G L_{n} K$ and that $H$ is a subgroup containing the centre of $G$ (that is, the scalar matrices $\left.K^{*}\right)$. If $H$ is compact, open modulo $K^{*}$ then there is a subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of finite index in $H$ such that $H^{\prime}=K^{*} H_{1}$ with $H_{1}$ compact, open in $S L_{n} K$. This can be established by studying the simplicial action of $G L_{n} K$ on a suitable barycentric subdivision of the Bruhat-Tits building of $S L_{n} K$ (see [19] Chapter Four §1).

To show that $H$ is both open and closed it suffices to verify this for $H^{\prime}$. Firstly $H^{\prime}$ is open, since it is $H^{\prime}=\bigcup_{z \in K^{*}} z H_{1}=\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{K}^{s} H_{1}$.

Also $H^{\prime}=K^{*} H_{1}$ is closed. Suppose that $X^{\prime} \notin K^{*} H_{1} . K^{*} H_{1}$ is closed under mutiplication by the multiplicative group generated by $\pi_{K}$ so that $\pi_{K}^{m} X^{\prime} \notin K^{*} H_{1}$ for all $m$. By conjugation we may assume that $H_{1}$ is a subgroup of $S L_{n} \mathcal{O}_{K}$, which is the maximal compact open subgroup of $S L_{n} K$, unique up to conjugacy. Choose the smallest non-negative integer $m$ such that every entry of $X=\pi_{K}^{m} X^{\prime}$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Therefore we may write $0 \neq \operatorname{det}(X)=\pi_{K}^{s} u$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ and $1 \leq s$. Now suppose that $V$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $X+\pi_{K}^{t} V \in K^{*} H_{1}$. Then

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}\left(X+\pi_{K}^{t} V\right) \equiv \pi_{K}^{s} u \text { (modulo } \pi_{K}^{t}\right) .
$$

So that if $t>s$ then $s$ must have the form $s=n w$ for some integer $w$ and $\pi_{K}^{-w}\left(X+\pi_{K}^{t} V\right) \in G L_{n} \mathcal{O}_{K} \bigcap K^{*} H_{1}=H_{1}$. Therefore all the entries in $\pi_{K}^{-w} X$ lie in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $\pi_{K}^{-w} X \in G L_{n} \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Enlarging $t$, if necessary, we can ensure that $\pi_{K}^{-w} X \in H_{1}$, since $H_{1}$ is closed (being compact), and therefore $X^{\prime} \in K^{*} H_{1}$, which is a contradiction.

Since $H$ is both closed and open in $G L_{n} K$ we may form the admissible representation $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G L_{n} K}\left(k_{\phi}\right)$ for any continuous character $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ and apply Lemma ??.

If $g \in G L_{n} K, h \in H$ then $\left(g \cdot f_{1}\right)(x)=\phi(x g)$ if $x g \in H$ and zero otherwise. On the other hand, $\left(g h \cdot f_{1}\right)(x)=\phi(x g h)=\phi(h) \phi(x g)$ if $x g \in H$ and zero otherwise. Therefore as a left $G L_{n} K$-representation $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G L_{n} K}\left(k_{\phi}\right)$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\left[G L_{n} K\right] /\left(\phi(h) g-g h \mid g \in G L_{n} K, h \in H\right)
$$

with left action induced by $g_{1} \cdot g=g_{1} g$.

This vector space is isomorphic to the $k$-vector space whose basis is given by $k$-bilinear tensors over $H$ of the form $g \otimes_{k[H]} 1$ as in the case of finite groups. The basis vector $g \cdot f_{1}$ corresponds to $g \otimes_{H} 1$ and $G L_{n} K$ acts on the tensors by left multiplication, as usual (see Appendix $\S 4$ in the finite group case).

## Proposition 4.17.

The functor

$$
c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}: \operatorname{Rep}(H) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}(G)
$$

is additive and exact.

## Proposition 4.18.

Let $H \subseteq G$ be an open subgroup and $(\sigma, W)$ smooth. Then there is a functorial isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W), \pi\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(W, \pi)
$$

given by $F \mapsto F \cdot f$, the composition with the $H$-map $f$ of Lemma 4.15.
Example 4.19. $c-\underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}(\phi)$
Suppose that $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ is a continuous character (i.e. a one-dimensional smooth representation of $H$ ).

Suppose that we are in a situation analogous to that of Example 4.16. Namely suppose that $H$ is open and closed, contains $Z(G)$, the centre of $G$, and is compact open modulo $Z(G)$. A basis for $k$ is given by $1 \in k^{*}$ and we have the function $f_{1} \in X_{c}$ given by $f_{1}(h)=\phi(h)$ if $h \in H$ and $f_{1}(g)=0$ if $g \notin H$.

If, following Lemma 4.15, $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of coset representatives for $H \backslash G$ then a $k$-basis for $c-\underline{\operatorname{Ind}}_{H}^{G}(\phi)$ is given by

$$
\left\{g \cdot f_{1} \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\right\}
$$

For $g \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g \cdot f_{1}\right)(x)=f_{1}(x g) & =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x g \notin H, \\
\phi(x g) & \text { if } x g \in H,
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x \notin H g^{-1}, \\
\phi(x g) & \text { if } x \in H g^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Before going further let us introduce the presence of $(H, \phi)$ into the notation.

Definition 4.20. Let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $G$ containing the centre, $Z(G)$, which is compact open modulo $Z(G)$. Let $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ be a continuous character of $H$. Denote by $X_{c}(H, \phi)$ the $k$-vector space of functions $f: G \longrightarrow$ $k$ such that
(i) $f(h g)=\phi(h) f(g)$ for all $h \in H, g \in G$,
(ii) there is a compact open subgroup $K_{f} \subseteq G$ such that $f(g k)=f(g)$ for all $g \in G, k \in K_{f}$,
(ii) $f$ is compactly supported modulo $H$.

As in $\S 4.14$, the left action of $G$ on $X_{c}(H, \phi)$ is given by $(g \cdot f)(x)=f(x g)$ and therefore

$$
\Sigma: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}\left(X_{c}(H, \phi)\right)
$$

gives a smooth representation of $G$ - denoted by $\Sigma=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\phi)$.
Henceforth we shall denote the map written as $f_{1}$ in Example 4.19 by $f_{(H, \phi)} \in X_{c}(H, \phi)$.

Therefore, for $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g \cdot f_{(H, \phi)}\right)(x)=f_{(H, \phi)}(x g) & =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x g \notin H, \\
\phi(x g) & \text { if } x g \in H,
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } x \notin H g^{-1} \\
\phi(x g) & \text { if } x \in H g^{-1} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.21. For $(H, \phi)$ and $(K, \psi)$ as in Definition 4.20, write $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ for any triple consisting of $g \in G$, characters $\phi, \psi$ on subgroups $H, K \leq G$, respectively such that

$$
(K, \psi) \leq\left(g^{-1} H g,(g)^{*}(\phi)\right)
$$

which means that $K \leq g^{-1} H g$ and that $\psi(k)=\phi(h)$ where $k=g^{-1} h g$ for $h \in H, k \in K$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the $k$-vector space with basis given by these triples. Define a product on these triples by the formula

$$
\left[(H, \phi), g_{1},(J, \mu)\right] \cdot\left[(K, \psi), g_{2},(H, \phi)\right]=\left[(K, \psi), g_{1} g_{2},(J, \mu)\right]
$$

and zero otherwise. This product makes sense because
(i) if $K \leq g_{2}^{-1} H g_{2}$ and $H \leq g_{1}^{-1} J g_{1}$ then $K \leq g_{2}^{-1} H g_{2} \leq g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1} J g_{1} g_{2}$ and
(ii) if $\psi(k)=\phi(h)=\mu(j)$, where $k=g_{2}^{-1} h g_{2}, h=g_{1}^{-1} j g_{1}$ then $k=g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1} j g_{1} g_{2}$.

This product is clearly associative and we define an algebra $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ to be $\mathcal{H}$ modulo the relations

$$
[(K, \psi), g k,(H, \phi)]=\psi\left(k^{-1}\right)[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]
$$

and

$$
[(K, \psi), h g,(H, \phi)]=\phi\left(h^{-1}\right)[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)] .
$$

We observe that

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]=\left[\left(g^{-1} H g, g^{*} \phi\right), g,(H, \phi)\right] \cdot\left[(K, \psi), 1,\left(g^{-1} H g, g^{*} \phi\right)\right]
$$

We shall refer to this algebra as the compactly supported modulo the centre (CSMC-algebra) of $G$.

## Lemma 4.22.

Let $[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]$ be a triple as in Definition 4.21. Associated to this triple define a left $k[G]$-homomorphism

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]: X_{c}(K, \psi) \longrightarrow X_{c}(H, \phi)
$$

by the formula $g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)} \mapsto\left(g_{1} g^{-1}\right) \cdot f_{(H, \phi)}$.
For a proof, which is the same as in the case when $G$ is finite, can be found in (the Appendix on induction in the case of finite groups).

## Theorem 4.23.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{c}(G)$ denote the partially order set of pairs $(H, \phi)$ as in Definitions 4.20 and 4.21 (so that $\left.X_{c}(H, \phi)=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\phi)\right)$. Then, when each $n_{\alpha}=1$,

$$
M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)=\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A},(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c}(G)} \text { underlinen }_{\alpha} X_{c}(H, \phi)
$$

is a left $k[G] \times \mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$-module. For a general distribution of multiplicities $\left\{n_{\alpha}\right\}$ it is Morita equivalent to a left $k[G] \times \mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$-module.

## Proof

We have only to verify associativity of the module multiplication, which is obvious.

Definition 4.24. ${ }_{k[G]}$ mon, the monomial category of $G$
The monomial category of $G$ is the additive category (non-abelian) whose objects are the $k$-vector spaces given by direct sums of $X_{c}(H, \phi)$ 's of $\S 4.23$ and whose morphisms are elements of the hyperHecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$. In other words the subcategory of the category of $k[G] \times \mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$-modules of which one example is $M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)$ in $\S 4.23$.

## The bar-monomial resolution: II. The compact, open modulo the centre case

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group and let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $V$ be a $k$-representation of $G$ with central character $\phi$ and that $V$ is a $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissible representation as in Proposition 4.9.

GOT TO HERE
Let $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ be the hyperHecke algebra, introduced earlier. Let

$$
M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)=\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A},(H, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}_{c}(G) \underline{n}_{\alpha} X_{c}(H, \phi)}
$$

be the left $k[G] \times \mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$-module of Theorem 4.23 form some family of strictly positive integers, $\left\{\underline{n}_{\alpha}\right\}$.

Theorem 4.25. Replacing the previous $S$ by $M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)$ and replacing the ring $\mathcal{A}_{M}$ (when $M=S$ ) by $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ we may imitate the previous construction
to make a ${ }_{k[G], \phi}$ mon-resolution of $V$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, G), i} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, G) \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, G), 1} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, G) \\
\quad \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, G), 0} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, G) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

This result is proved using the analogues of the earlier ones.
Remark 4.26. In [19] this result was proved ${ }^{5}$ by reduction to the finite modulo the centre case. Also an explicit bare hands homological construction was given in the case of $G L_{2}$ of a local field. I think that the use of the hyperHecke algebra simplifies the construction both in the compact, open modulo the centre case of this section and the general case of the next.

## The monomial resolution in the general case

Once again let $G$ be a locally profinite group and let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $V$ be a $k$-representation of $G$ with central character $\phi$ and that $V$ is a $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissible representation as in Proposition 4.9.

First I shall recall the properties of Tammo tom Dieck's space $\underline{E}(G, \mathcal{C})$ ([19] Appendix IV) which is defined for a group $G$ and a family of subgroups $\mathcal{C}$ which is closed under conjugation and passage to subgroups. This space is a simplicial complex on which $G$ acts simplicially in such a way that for any subgroup $H \in \mathcal{C}$ the fixed-point set $\underline{E}(G, \mathcal{C})^{H}$ is non-empty and contractible. In our case $\mathcal{C}$ will be the family of compact, open modulo the centre subgroups.
$\underline{E}(G, \mathcal{C})$ is unique up to $G$-equivariant homotopy equivalence. In the case of $G L_{n}$ of a local field, for example, the Bruhat-Tits building gives a finitedimensional model for the tom Dieck space.

If the set of conjugacy classes maximal compact, open modulo the centre subgroups of $G$ is finite, as in the case of $G L_{n} K$ for example, one can find a local system which assigns to each compact, open modulo the centre $J$ a $k[J], \phi$ mon-resolution of $\operatorname{Res}_{J}^{G} V$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, J), i} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, J) \xrightarrow{d} \ldots \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, J), 1} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, J) \\
\xrightarrow{d} \tilde{M}_{M_{c}(\underline{n}, J), 0} \otimes_{k} M_{c}(\underline{n}, J) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \operatorname{Res}_{J}^{G} V \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Next one forms the double complex ([19] Chapter Four Theorem 3.2) given by the simplicial chain complex of the tom Dieck space in one grading and the compact, open modulo the centre ${ }_{k[J], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolutions in the other grading. The contribution of the resolutions corresponding to the orbit of one $J$-fixed simplex gives the compactly supported induction of that resolution.

[^3]Theorem 4.27. ([19] Chapter Four Theorem 3.2)
Let $V$ be a $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissible representation as in Proposition 4.9. Then the total complex of the above double complex is ${ }_{k[G], \underline{\phi}}$ mon-resolution of $V$.

## Idempotented algebras ([7] p.309)

Definition 4.28. Let $k$ be a field and $H$ a $k$-algebra. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote a set of idempotents of $H$. Assume that if $e_{1}, e_{2} \in \mathcal{E}$ then there exists $e_{0} \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $e_{0} e_{1}=e_{1} e_{0}=e_{1}$ and $e_{0} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{0}=e_{2}$. In addition assume for every $\phi \in H$ that there exists $e \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $e \phi=\phi e=\phi$.

With these assumptions $H$ is called an idempotented $k$-algebra.
Write $f \leq e$ if $e f=f e=f$. This gives $\mathcal{E}$ the structure of a partially ordered set (i.e. a poset).

If $R$ is a ring and $e$ an idempotent denote $e R e$ by $R[e]$. If $M$ is a left $R$ module write $M[e]$ for the $R[e]$-module $e M$. If $H$ is an idempotented algebra then $H[e]$ is a $k$-algebra with unit $e$ and $M[e]$ is an $H[e]$-module.
$M$ is smooth if $M=\bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} M[e]$ and is admissible if it is smooth and for each $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(M[e])<\infty$.

If $\left(H_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{i}\right)$ are idempotented algebras for $i=1,2$ then so is $H_{1} \otimes H_{2}$ with idempotents $e_{1} \otimes e_{2}$ for $e_{i} \in \mathcal{E}_{i}$.

### 4.29. The idempotented algebra $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the collection of finite additive combinations in $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$, the algebra of Definition 4.21, of the form

$$
e=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right), 1,\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right]
$$

in which $\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)=\left(H_{j}, \phi_{j}\right)$ if and only if $i=j$. Then $e \cdot e=e$ and all the idempotents in $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$ have this form.

We shall write $e_{(H, \phi)}$ for the idempotent $[(H, \phi), 1,(H, \phi)]$.
Define the homomorphism

$$
\left[\left(K^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right), g,\left(H^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right]: X_{c}(K, \psi) \longrightarrow X_{c}(H, \phi)
$$

to be zero unless $\left.K^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)=(K, \psi)$ and $(H, \phi)=\left(H^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$. The following result is clear.

## Theorem 4.30.

(i) In $\S 4.29\left(\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G), \mathcal{E}\right)$ is an idempotented algebra and $M_{c}(G)$ is an $\mathcal{H}_{c m c}(G)$-module in the category of smooth $k[G]$-modules.
(ii) In this idempotented algebra $e=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right), 1,\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right]$ and $f$ satisfy $e f=f e=f$ in and only if the idempotent $f$ is a subsum of $e$, which fits very nicely with the $f \leq e$ notation.
(iii) If $M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)$ is the module of Theorem 4.23 then $M_{c}(\underline{n}, G)[e]$ is the direct sum of the $\underline{n}_{\alpha} X_{c}(H, \phi)$ 's for which $e_{(H, \phi)}$ appears in the sum for $e$.

### 4.31. Hecke algebras

The Hecke algebra of a locally compact, totally disconnected group is a related idempotented algebra.

Let $G$ be a locally compact, totally disconnected group. Assume that $G$ is unimodular - that is, the left invariant Haar measure equals the right-invariant Haar measure of $G$ ([7] p.137).

The Hecke algebra of $G$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{G}$ is the space $C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$ of locally constant,compactly supported $k$-valued functions on $G$ with the convolution product ([7] p. 140 and p.255)

$$
\left(\phi_{1} * \phi_{2}\right)(g)=\int_{G} \phi_{1}(g h) \phi_{2}\left(h^{-1}\right) d h=\int_{G} \phi_{1}(h) \phi_{2}\left(h^{-1} g\right) d h .
$$

This integral requires only one of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ to be compactly supported in order to land in $\mathcal{H}_{G}$.

Suppose that $K_{0} \subseteq G$ is a compact, open subgroup. Define an idempotent

$$
e_{K_{0}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{0}\right)} \cdot \chi_{K_{0}}
$$

where $\chi_{K_{0}}$ is the characteristic function of $K_{0}$. If $K_{0} \subseteq K_{1}$ then $e_{K_{0}} * e_{K_{1}}=$ $e_{K_{1}}$.

This is seen using left invariance of the Haar measure

$$
\int_{G} \frac{\chi_{K}(z h)}{\operatorname{vol}(K)} \frac{\chi_{H}\left(h^{-1}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}(H)}=\int_{G} \frac{\chi_{K}(h)}{\operatorname{vol}(K)} \frac{\chi_{H}\left(h^{-1} z\right)}{\operatorname{vol}(H)} .
$$

The integrand is zero unless $h \in K$ and then it is zero unless $z \in H$. When $z \in H$ we are integrating

$$
\int_{G} \frac{\chi_{K}(h)}{\operatorname{vol}(K)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(H)}=\frac{\chi_{H}(z)}{\operatorname{vol}(H)},
$$

as required.
$\mathcal{H}_{G}$ is an idempotented algebra because $G$ has a base of neighbourhoods consisting of compact open subgroups.

A function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{G}$ is called $K$-finite if the subspace spanned by all its (left) translates by $K$ is finite-dimensional ([7] p.299).

Monomial morphisms as convolution products
It is my belief and eventual intention that the material of this section will remain true for the general $G$ as in $\S 2$ provided that all continuous $k$-valued characters on compact, open subgroups have finite image.

However, throughout this section I shall assume that $G$ is a locally profinite group whose centre $Z(G)$ is compact. Let $H$ be a subgroup which is compact, open modulo the centre. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field for which all continuous characters $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ have finite image when $H$ is compact, open.

The following two results give some examples of $G$ for which $Z(G)$ is compact.

## Lemma 4.32.

Let $K$ be a $p$-adic local field. Then $Z\left(S L_{n} K\right)$ is finite. In particular it is compact.

## Proof

Consider the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & x_{2} & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{2, n} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{3, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & \cdots & a_{n-1, n-1} & a_{n-1, n} \\
a_{n, 1} & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n-1} & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdot & \cdots & a_{2, n} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{3, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & \cdots & a_{n-1, n-1} & a_{n-1, n} \\
a_{n, 1} & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n-1} & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & x_{2} & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the $(i, j)$ entry we find $x_{i} a_{i, j}=a_{i, j} x_{j}$ and since we may suppose $a_{i, j} \neq 0$ we see that $x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots=x_{n}$ and $x_{1}^{n}=1$. Therefore $Z\left(S L_{n} K\right)=\mu_{n}(K)$, the group of $n$-th roots of unity in $K$.

## Lemma 4.33.

Let $K$ be a $p$-adic local field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and prime $\pi_{K}$. Then $Z\left(G L_{n} K /\left\langle\pi_{K}\right\rangle\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$. In particular it is compact. Here $\left\langle\pi_{K}\right\rangle$ denotes the centre subgroup generated by $\pi_{K}$ times the identity matrix.

## Proof

The relation used in the proof of $\S 4.32$ implies that for each $(i, j)$ we have $\pi_{K}^{\alpha} x_{i} a_{i, j}=a_{i, j} x_{j} \pi_{K}^{\beta}$ for some pair $\alpha, \beta$. Therefore we may suppose that $x_{1} \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ and that $x_{j}=x_{1} \pi_{K}^{e_{j}}$. Now taking a matrix with $a_{1, j} a_{j, 1} \neq 0$ for $j=$ $2,3, \ldots, n$ we find that $\pi_{K}^{\alpha} x_{1}=x_{j} \pi_{K}^{\beta}=x_{1} \pi_{K}^{\beta+e_{j}}$ for $j=2,3, \ldots, n$. This implies that $x_{1} \pi_{K}^{e}=x_{2}=x_{3}=\ldots=x_{n}$ which implies that $e=0$.

The next two results ensure that we are free to use convolution products in our context.

## Lemma 4.34.

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group whose centre $Z(G)$ is compact. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, containing $Z(G)$, which is compact, open modulo the centre then $H$ is compact, open.

## Proof

The is a compact open subset $C$ of $G$ such that $H=Z(G) \cdot C$. Multiplication is a continuous map from the compact space $Z(G) \times C$ onto $H$ so that
$H$ is compact. Furthermore any point of $H$ may be written as $h=z \cdot c$ with $z \in Z(G)$ and $c \in C$. Therefore $z \cdot N \subseteq H$ for any open neighbourhood of $c$ in $C$ is an open neighbourhood of $h$ in $H$, which is therefore open.

## Lemma 4.35 .

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group whose centre $Z(G)$ is compact and let $H$ be a subgroup which is compact, open modulo the centre. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field for which all continuous characters $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ have finite image when $H$ is compact, open. Then the vector space, $X_{c}$, of $\S 4.14$ on which $c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\left(k_{\phi}\right)$ is defined is a subspace of the Hecke algebra of $G, \mathcal{H}_{G}$, the space of locally constant,compactly supported $k$-valued functions on $G$.

## Proof:

By $\S 4.15$ it suffices to verify that the function $f_{w}$ of $\S 4.14$ is locally constant, compactly supported for $w=1 \in k^{*}$. This function is given by the formula

$$
f_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x \notin H, \\
\phi(x) & \text { if } x \in H,
\end{array}\right.
$$

By $\S 4.34 H$, the support of $f_{1}$, is compact. Since the image of $\phi$ is finite the function $f_{1}$ is locally constant.

Recall from §§4.21-4.22 that we have defined

$$
[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]: X_{c}(K, \psi) \longrightarrow X_{c}(H, \phi)
$$

by the formula $g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)} \mapsto\left(g_{1} g^{-1}\right) \cdot f_{(H, \phi)}$.
If $\chi_{W}$ is the characteristic function of $W \subseteq G$ we may define $g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}$ using characteristic functions in the following manner. By definition

$$
g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\psi\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right) & \text { if } x g_{1}^{-1} \in K, \\
0 & \text { if } x g_{1}^{-1} \notin K .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ are coset representatives for $K / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then, if $x g_{1}^{-1} \in K$ we must have $x g_{1}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right)}$ for some $1 \leq j\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right) \leq t$ and therefore $\psi\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right)=\psi\left(v_{j\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right)}\right)$. Hence we have the fomula

$$
g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \psi\left(v_{j}\right) \chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j} g_{1}}
$$

because $\bigcup \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j} g_{1}=K g_{1}$ so that the right hand side is zero unless $x g_{1}^{-1} \in K$ and is $\psi\left(v_{j_{0}}\right)$ precisely when $j_{0}=j\left(x g_{1}^{-1}\right)$.

Next, from Definition 4.21

$$
(K, \psi) \leq\left(g^{-1} H g,(g)^{*}(\phi)\right)
$$

implies that $\psi(k)=\phi(h)$ where $k=g^{-1} h g$ for $h \in H, k \in K$. Therefore if $k \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ then $h \in \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ and so $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \leq g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) g$.

Consider the convolution product

$$
\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)} * \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)}(z)=\int_{G} \chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)}(h) \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)}\left(h^{-1} z\right) d h .
$$

The integrand is zero unless $h \in g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ in addition to the condition
$z \in h g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)=g_{1} g^{-1} g \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ and conversely. Therefore

$$
\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)} * \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)}=\operatorname{vol}\left(g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)\right) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)} .
$$

Similarly, if $v \in K$ and $u \in H$, we have a convolution product

$$
\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v} * \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u}(z)=\int_{G} \chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v}(h) \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u}\left(h^{-1} z\right) d h .
$$

The integrand is zero unless $h \in g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v$ in addition to the condition

$$
z \in h g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u \subseteq g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u \subseteq g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)\left(g v g^{-1}\right) \cdot u
$$

and conversely. Therefore

$$
\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v} * \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u}=\operatorname{vol}\left(g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v\right) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) g v g^{-1} u} .
$$

## Lemma 4.36.

Suppose that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t} \in K$ is a set of coset representatives for $K / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Then

$$
g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \psi\left(v_{j}\right) \cdot \chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j} g_{1}^{-1}} .
$$

## Proof:

Consider the functions in the equation applied to $x \in G$. The left hand side is zero if $x g_{1} \notin K$ which is equivalent to there being no $j$ such that $x g_{1} \in$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j}$ or $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j} g_{1}^{-1}$. Under these conditions every characteristic function on the right hand side also vanishes on $x$. On the other hand if $x g_{1} \in$ $K$ there exists a unique $j_{0}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j_{0}} g_{1}^{-1}$ and so, evaluated at $x g_{1}$, there is one and only one term on the right hand side which contributes. It yields $\psi\left(v_{j_{0}}\right)$ which is the value of $g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}$ at $x$, as required.
4.37. The image $\phi(H)$ is a finite cyclic group, being a finite subgroup of $k^{*}$, which contains $\phi\left(g K g^{-1}\right)=\psi(K)$. Therefore there exist $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ which are coset representatives for $K / \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$ which give distinct cosets in $H / \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ such that the set $\left\{\left(g v_{i} g^{-1}\right) u_{j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq s\right\}$ is a set of coset representatives for $H / \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$.
Definition 4.38. Define an involution $T: C_{c}^{\infty}(G) \longrightarrow C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$ by $T(F)(x)=F\left(x^{-1}\right)$. For example $T\left(\chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j} g_{1}^{-1}}\right)=\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{j}^{-1}}$.

In the notation of $\S 4.37$ set

$$
\Phi_{[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \phi\left(u_{j}\right) \cdot \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u_{j}} .
$$

## Theorem 4.39.

In the notation of Definition 4.38

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}\right) } & =g_{1} g^{-1} \cdot f_{(H, \phi)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi))} T\left(T\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}\right) * \Phi_{[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof:

We observe that $\psi\left(v_{i}\right)\left(\chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}}\right)(x)=\psi\left(v_{i}\right)=\psi\left(x g_{1}\right)$ if $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}=$ $v_{i} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) g_{1}^{-1}$ and zero otherwise. Therefore

$$
T\left(\psi\left(v_{i}\right)\left(\chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}}\right)\right)(x)=\psi\left(v_{i}\right)\left(\chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}}\right)\left(x^{-1}\right)=\psi\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

if $x^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}$ and zero otherwise. In the non-zero case $x \in g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i}^{-1}$ and $\psi\left(v_{i}\right)=\psi\left(g_{1}^{-1} x\right)^{-1}$ so that

$$
T\left(\psi\left(v_{i}\right)\left(\chi_{\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i} g_{1}^{-1}}\right)\right)=\psi\left(v_{i}\right)^{-1} \chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i}^{-1}} .
$$

From Lemma 4.32 we have

$$
T\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \psi\left(v_{i}\right)^{-1} \cdot \chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i}^{-1}} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}\right) * \Phi_{[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \psi\left(v_{i}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(u_{j}\right)\left(\chi_{g_{1} \operatorname{Ker}(\psi) v_{i}^{-1}} * \chi_{g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \psi\left(v_{i}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(u_{j}\right) \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(T\left(g_{1} \cdot f_{(K, \psi)}\right) * \Phi_{[(K, \psi), g,(H, \phi)]}\right) \\
& =T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \psi\left(v_{i}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(u_{j}\right) \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}}\right) \\
& =T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \phi\left(g v_{i} g^{-1}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(u_{j}\right) \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} T\left(\phi\left(\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}\right) \chi_{g_{1} g^{-1} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \phi\left(\left(g v_{i}^{-1} g^{-1}\right) u_{j}\right)^{-1} \chi_{u_{j}^{-1}\left(g v_{i} g^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) g g_{1}^{-1}} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)) g_{1} g^{-1} \cdot f_{(H, \phi)},
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 4.32.
Remark 4.40. (i) Theorem 4.39 has shown that, under the special conditions which were stated at the start of this section, the morphisms of the monomial category ${ }_{k[G]}$ mon of Definition 4.24 are given in terms of the convolution product of $\S 4.31$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{G}$.
(ii) My belief is that Theorem 4.39 remains true in general, in some sense, providing that all continuous characters $\phi: H \longrightarrow k^{*}$ have finite image when $H$ is compact, open. This belief is based on the following: [19] claims to construct for each admissible representation $V$ of $G$ a monomial resolution in the derived category ${ }_{k[G]}$ mon $^{6}$ and (see $\S 9$; also [9] pp.2-3) such $V$ are intimately related to Hecke modules. Therefore one should expect a connection between the morphisms in that resolution and convolutions products.

The difficulty, in the case of a general locally profinite group $G$, with the treatment of this section is that $X_{c}(H, \phi)$ 's are spaces of locally constant functions which are compactly supported modulo $H$, rather than actually being compactly supported.

It might be that I can get away with using the Schwartz space of locally constant, compactly supported functions of $G / Z(G)$, but I have not yet had time to examine this generalisation ${ }^{7}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In ([19] Chapter Two, Definition 1.1) my unreliable typography resulted in a superfluous suffix "-1" which gives the right action. This this essay I have been more careful to give the correct formula for the left action, since left actions are my usual preference.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here I have taken my own terminological advice given in the footnote to ([19] Chapter One, Definition 1.2).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ If this condition is not true in general it is true in the main cases of interest. Therefore let us treat it as an unimportant assumption for the time being!
    ${ }^{4}$ Regrettably I have not got round to reading any of them!

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ I believe!

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ In a later section I shall give a self-contained construction of these resolutions based on the hyperHecke algebra and which applies to any $V$ is $\mathcal{M}_{c m c, \phi}(G)$-admissible $V$.
    ${ }^{7}$ To that end, as a novice, I should re-read $\S 9$, several sections of $[7]$ on Hecke modules and the material of ([17] §1.11 p.63).

